

Quantum horizon and thermodynamics of black hole

Mu-Lin Yan*, Hua Bai†

Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study
University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui 230026, P.R. China

February 7, 2008

Abstract

A semi-classical reasoning leads to the non-commutativity of space and time coordinates near the horizon of static non-extreme black hole, and renders the classical horizon spreading to *Quantum Horizon*. In terms of the background metric of the black hole with the *Quantum Horizon*, a quantum field theory in curved space without ultraviolet divergency near the horizon is formulated. In this formulism, the black hole thermodynamics is reproduced correctly without both ambiguity and additional hypothesis in the deriving the hole's Hawking radiations and entropies, and a new interesting prediction on the number of radiative field modes N is provided. Specifically, the main results are follows: 1, Hawking radiations rightly emerge as an effect of quantum tunneling through the quantum horizon, and hence the ambiguities due to going across the singularity on the classical horizon were got rid of; 2, 't Hooft's brick wall thickness hypothesis and the boundary condition imposed for the field considered in his brick wall model were got rid of also, and related physics has been interpreted; 3, The present theory is parameter free. So, the theory has power to predict the multiplicity N of radiative field modes according to the requirement of normalization of Hawking-Bekenstein entropy. It has been found that $N \simeq 162$, which is just in good agreement with one in the Minimal Super-symmetric Standard Model. The studies in this paper represent an attempt to reveal some physics near the horizon at Planck scale. This paper serves a brief review on the author's works on this subject.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the general relativity(GR) and the quantum theory are two main pillars of modern physics. That how to unite these two theories is full of challenge. Actually, so far no one can yet formulated a consistent and complete quantum theory of gravity. We all know that gravitational interactions govern the phenomena at large scales, and quantum mechanics(QM) and quantum field theory(QFT) dominates the physics at small scales, but

*E-mail address: mlyan@staff.ustc.edu.cn

†E-mail address: huabai@mail.ustc.edu.cn

once the distance is small as Planck length, $l_p = (\hbar G/c^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 10^{-35}m$, we have to consider the quantum effect of gravity.

Two of the most important physical effects related to the quantum gravity are the Hawking-radiation and the black hole entropy. In classical GR, black hole's properties can be precisely calculated, and the holes may be thought of astronomical objects with masses about several times of our Sun. In this classical case, event horizon emerges, and anything (including the light) can not escape from it to arrive at a particular observer who is outside the horizon. But a surprise happened: When S. Hawking studied the Bekenstein-relation of black hole, he found that black hole emits radiations and the radiation spectra is just the black body's. This fact indicates that the black hole has a temperature whose expression is[1]

$$T_{BH} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}, \quad (1)$$

where κ is surface gravity on the horizon. Thus the Bekenstein-relation becomes the "real" thermodynamical relationship of the black hole. Namely the black hole has a thermodynamical entropy as follows

$$S_{BH} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{A}{l_p^2}, \quad (2)$$

where S_{BH} is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and A is the area of the event horizon.

The Quantum Mechanics(QM) and the Quantum Field Theory (QFT) in the curved space-time with (classical) event horizon provides a framework to understand the statistic mechanics origin of the thermodynamics of non-extreme black hole, and serves as a powerful tool to calculate its entropy and the Hawking radiation temperature[1][2][3][4] [5][6]. In this framework, the gravity fields are background fields. The quantum fields move on this classical background which will be not affected by the quantum fields. It is essential that the black hole background has coordinate singularity at the horizon. This singularity will seriously plague our understanding of the black hole's thermodynamics: Firstly, in the entropy calculations, an ultraviolet cutoff (or brick wall) has to be put in by hand[5] (even though it could be done in a proper way [2]). Otherwise, the entropy will be divergent; Secondly, in the Hawking radiation derivations, one should also artificially use an analytic continuing trick to go over the singularity associating with that horizon[1][4][7]. This disease spoils our understanding of Hawking radiation as quantum tunnelling effects, and some ambiguities will be left due to it. Thus we face an unsatisfactory situation that if once set up a brick wall for getting the entropy rightly, we will have no way to do analytic continuing and hence no way for deriving the Hawking temperature in this formalism. On the other hand, if once withdrew the wall (or the ultraviolet cutoff), we will have no way to handle the entropy even though the Hawking temperature deriving become doable. Consequently, a self-consistent QFT (or QM) model in curved space to calculate both the black hole's entropy and the Hawking temperature simultaneously and rightly is still in absence.

Recently, we made some remarks[8][15] on 't Hooft's brick wall model(BWM) which is one of QFTs in curved space with (classical) horizon, and provided a heuristic discussion for how to over the obstacle mentioned in above. The point of our idea is to consider a semi-classical effect on the horizon, which naturally led to the space-time non-commutativity on the horizon, and dramatically and successfully led to over the difficulties due to the singularity at the classical horizon. We will call such kind of event horizon on which the

space-time is non-commutative as *Quantum Horizon* in this paper. As a consequence in ref.[8][15], we simultaneously got the Schwarzschild black hole's Hawking temperature and entropy in a probing QFT-model with a quantum horizon. In this treatment, the ordinary classical horizon spreads, and hence the troubles due to the singularity on the horizon mentioned in above disappear. This seems to be a strong sign to indicate that the quantum effects on the horizon are important for the thermodynamics of black hole. In other words, the quantum horizon conception may play a essential role for solving the puzzle brought by the classical horizon singularity.

Following refs.[2][6] to treat macro-black hole as quantum states instead of a classical object, and according to quantum mechanics principle, then we should conclude its energy and its corresponding conjugate time t can not be simultaneously measured exactly (Heisenberg uncertainty principle). Namely, when treating E and t as operators, we have

$$[t, E] = i. \quad (3)$$

In other hand, it has been generally believed that the states are situated on the horizon, and outside it the densities of the states become tiny fast. Therefore, the energy of states E should approximatively be the hole's gravitational energies measured at the location of the horizon. It has long been aware the gravitational energies are quasilocal in the general relativity. In this letter, we follow Brown-York's quasilocal energy(QLE) definition[10], and the energy of the black hole E_{QLE} is coordinate-dependent. Then, the states energy E to the static black hole is as follows(see the Section III)

$$E = E_{QLE}(r = r_H) = r_H/G, \quad (4)$$

where r_H is the radio of black hole (or the radio of event horizon), and it is a function of the parameters of the hole. Substituting Eq.(4) into Eq.(3), we must conclude that the uncertainty of E imply that of r_H , and then we have

$$[t, r]|_{r=r_H} = il_p^2, \quad (5)$$

where $l_p = \sqrt{G}$ is the Planck length. This equation implies that the radial coordinate is noncommutative with the time at the horizon. The corresponding uncertainty relation for them is $(\Delta t)(\Delta r)|_{r \sim r_H} \sim l_p^2$. In other hands, due to quantum measurement effects, r_H spreads into a range of $\{r_H - \Delta, r_H + \Delta\}$. And correspondingly, the previous classical horizon spreads into the quantum horizon. Thus Eq.(5) is extended as follows

$$[t, r]|_{r \in \{r_H - \Delta, r_H + \Delta\}} = il_p^2, \quad (6)$$

According to our aforementioned discussion, we construct a model with noncommutative ϕ -field in the range of $\{r_H - \Delta, r_H + \Delta\}$ and with commutative ϕ -fields in the ranges of $\{0, r_H - \Delta\}$ and $\{r_H + \Delta, L\}$

$$[t, r]|_{r \in \{0, r_H - \Delta\} \text{ or } \{r_H + \Delta, L\}} = 0 \quad (7)$$

where L represents an infrared cutoff in the model. It is essential that Δ should be an intrinsic quantity of the model, which characterizes the boundary between the noncommutative space-time range and the commutative space-time ranges, and should be determined

by dynamics of the model. Surprisingly, this expectation can be realized and a ultraviolet-divergence-free QFT with horizon but without the "brick wall" can be constructed by the following consideration: 1) Starting with a simplest noncommutative ϕ -field action within metric of black hole, the equation of motion of ϕ can be derived exactly; 2) This equation of motion in noncommutative field theory should be, of course, quite different from the ordinary Klein-Gordon equation of ϕ -field within black hole metric. This fact implies ϕ -fields should be moved in a curve space with a new effective metric $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$; 3) Remarkably, it will be shown below that $\tilde{g}^{tt}$ has two new singularities besides the original one at $r = r_H$, one is outside the horizon and another is in inside. Denoting their locations as $r_H \pm \Delta'$ respectively, we will find Δ' is dependent on the energies of the noncommutative fields ω , i.e., $\Delta' = \Delta'(\omega)$. This means that to the fields with energy ω , $\phi(\omega, r)$, its red-shifting on the $(r_H \pm \Delta'(\omega))$ surface is infinite due to $\tilde{g}_{tt}(r = r_H \pm \Delta'(\omega)) = 0$, and then we have

$$\phi(\omega, r)|_{r=r_H+\Delta'(\omega)} = 0; \quad (8)$$

4) We argue that the fact that the noncommutative fields vanish at $r = r_H + \Delta'(\omega)$ means we can think the space-time coordinates on the surface of $r = r_H + \Delta'(\omega)$ to be commutative, i.e., $[t, r]|_{r=r_H+\Delta'(\omega)} = 0$. and then we will further have

$$[t, r]|_{r \geq r_H+\Delta'(\omega)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Comparing eq.(9) with eq.(7), we get

$$\Delta'(\omega) = \Delta. \quad (10)$$

Because of the appearance the new infinite red-shifting surface, an observer outside this surface can not detect the ϕ_ω at $r < r_H + \Delta(\omega)$, so the state density divergency of the ϕ -field caused by the coordinate singularity at the original horizon($r = r_H$) disappears. Thus, in this theory without introducing extra-violet cutoff (or brick wall) the entropy will keep to be finite. It interesting to pursue whither this entropy is proportional to the area of the black hole or not, or if the black hole thermodynamics could be reproduced or not. If does, the quantum horizon would be certainly correct conception, and our treatment should be a right way to regulate the divergent at the horizon, which may be more nature than the 't Hooft brick wall hypothesis.

In the horizon spread range, the wave number k of the ϕ -fields is imaginary, and hence the quantum tunnelling occurred. The ratio between the amplitude of outgoing wave function of ϕ outside the hole and one inside it is $\exp(2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r) dr)$, which describes the the quantum tunnelling effects of ϕ passing through the horizon spread range of the black hole. By means of the theory of Dammur-Ruffini[4] and Sannan[13], the black hole temperature T_{BH} can be derived from this ratio, i.e., $\exp(|2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r) dr|) = \exp(\omega/(2T_{BH}))$, and then it becomes possible to examine whither the Howking radiation is due to this well-define quantum tunnelling effects or not. It should be remarkable to link the black hole thermodynamics with the quantum effects at the horizon.

Finally, we discuss the question of the entropy normalization. The thermodynamics requests the hole's entropy have to normalize to be Bekenstein-Hawking expression(2), i.e., $S = S_{BH} = A/(4l_p^2)$. This requirement will lead to determine the ϕ -field component number N both because $S \propto N$ in general and because, in particular, there is no free

parameter in our model. Therefore distinguishing from other type brick wall models, our quantum horizon model can predict the N . We will find $N \approx 162$. This result provides a important criterion to judge what underline dynamics at the Planck scale should be.

The contents of this paper are organized as follows. In section II we briefly review some relevant works, and reveal the puzzles related to the singularities at the (classical) horizons in the QFT (or QM) on the curved space; In section III we discuss the energies of quantum state of the black hole. By means of the Heisenberg uncertainly principle we will show that the space-time near the event horizon is noncommutative ; In section IV, a model based on the quantum horizon will constructed, and the thermodynamics of the Schwarzschild black hole will be produced in this model; In section V, our studies on the Schwarzschild black hole extend to the general static black holes . It will be shown that our model is very successful for getting both the temperature and entropy of the black hole without any free parameters. The model will also successfully lead to get over the difficulties due to the singularity at the classical horizon discussed in the section II; Finally, we summarize the results, and discuss the question of the entropy normalization in our model.

2 QFT on the curved space-time

In order to see the problems of the QFT(or QM) on the curved space with horizon , we first briefly recall some relevant works in this field , for instance, the works of Damour-Ruffini[4],Sannan[13] and t' Hooft[2][5][6] . To the Schwarzschild black hole, the metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{2M}{r})dt^2 + (1 - \frac{2M}{r})^{-1}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\varphi^2). \quad (11)$$

Considering a scale field in this background, the action is as follow

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi) \quad (12)$$

Varying the action with ϕ , i.e., $\delta I / \delta \phi$, we get the equation of motion as follow

$$\partial_\mu (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Using the WKB approximation and by setting $\phi = e^{-i\omega t - i \int k(r) dr} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$, we have the radial wave number $k(r, l, \omega)$ from the corresponding equation of motion:

$$k^2(r, l, \omega) = (1 - \frac{r_H}{r})^{-1} \left[(1 - \frac{r_H}{r})^{-1} \omega^2 - r^{-2} l(l+1) \right], \quad (14)$$

where $r_H = 2M$ is the ratio of the event horizon. Now, let us derive the temperature of the black hole firstly. Using the S-wave approximation corresponding to eq.(15), we have

$$k(r) = \pm \frac{r\omega}{r - r_H} \quad (15)$$

We should derive the outgoing wave function of ϕ in both outside and inside of the black hole. The incoming wave function of ϕ reads

$$\phi_\omega^{in} = \exp \left[-i\omega t - i \int k(r) dr \right] = \exp \left[-i\omega(t + \int_c^r \frac{r}{r - r_H} dr) \right]. \quad (16)$$

In the above expression, the integration $\int_c^r r/(r-r_H)dr = r - c + r_H \ln((r-r_H)/(c-r_H))$ is actually of the so called the tortoise coordinate $r^* = r + r_H \ln((r-r_H)/r_H)$ used in ref.[4], expect an unimportant constant. We set $t + \int_c^r r/(r-r_H)dr = \nu$ which is like the usual advanced Eddington-Finkelstein coordiantes. Then the incoming wave function is $\phi^{in} = e^{-i\omega\nu}$, and the outgoing wave function in the outside range ($r > r_H$) is

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\omega^{out}(r > r_H) &= A_\omega \exp \left[-i\omega t + i \int_c^r \frac{\omega r}{r-r_H} dr \right] \\ &= A_\omega \phi_\omega^{in} \exp \left[2i \int_c^r \frac{\omega r}{r-r_H} dr \right]\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

The outgoing wave function has a singularity at $r = r_H$ in the integrand, if we want to get the outgoing wave function in the inside range($r < r_H$), we have to do analytic continuing over this singularity. Namely, the calculations of imaginary part of the wave-number k integral across the classical horizon are as follows

$$Im \left(2 \int dr k(r) \right) \equiv Im \left(2 \int \frac{\omega r}{r-r_H} dr \right) = Im \left(2\omega \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{r dr}{r-r_H \mp i\epsilon} \right) = \pm 2\pi r_H \omega \quad (18)$$

Note, the calculations here are somehow non-rigorous. Two remarks on this point are follows: 1) The integration $\int k(r)dr$ is actually divergent due to the singularity at $r = r_H$ in the integrand, and hence $Im(2 \int k(r)dr)$ is actually meaningless as without a proper regularization; 2), The second step of calculation (18) just serves as such a regularization which belongs to a artificial mathematical trick, and the final step is due to the Dirac formula: $1/(x \pm i\epsilon) = \mathcal{P}/x \mp i\pi\delta(x)$.

Now, the outgoing wave function inside the horizon is

$$\phi_\omega^{out}(r < r_H) = A_\omega e^{2\pi r_H \omega} \phi_\omega^{in} \exp \left[2i \int \frac{\omega r}{r-r_H} dr \right] \quad (19)$$

where for simplicity, we take the positive sign(if taking the negative sign, we can get the same result[18]). The absolute value of ratio of the outgoing wave function's amplitude outside the black hole to the one inside is as follows

$$\left| \frac{\phi_\omega^{out}(r > r_H)}{\phi_\omega^{out}(r < r_H)} \right| = e^{-2\pi r_H \omega} \quad (20)$$

This yields a relative scattering probability

$$p_\omega = e^{-4\pi r_H \omega} \quad (21)$$

According to Feynman [16], the probability p_ω is also the relative probability of creating a particle-antiparticle pair just outside the horizon. The particles are outgoing from the event horizon with positive energy ω , while the antiparticle is ingoing toward the singularity with energy $(-\omega)$. This means that the ϕ -particle mean number $\langle \mathcal{N}_\omega \rangle$ in the radiation is as follows[13]

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_\omega \rangle = \frac{|\Gamma_\omega|^2}{e^{4\pi r_H \omega} - 1} \equiv \frac{|\Gamma_\omega|^2}{e^{\omega/T_{BH}} - 1}, \quad (22)$$

where $|\Gamma_\omega|^2$ is the frequency-dependent transmission coefficient for the outgoing wave to reach future infinity. Consequently, we obtain the Hawking temperature

$$T_{BH} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}. \quad (23)$$

To this temperature calculation, the regularization in (18) is crucial, but *ad hoc*. Actually, some ambiguities are left. For example, the regularization used in (18) could be replaced by the follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im} \left(2 \int dr k(r) \right) &\equiv \text{Im} \left(2 \int \frac{\omega r}{r - r_H} dr \right) \\ &= \text{Im} \left(2\omega \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m+n} \left[m \int \frac{r dr}{r - r_H - i\epsilon} + n \int \frac{r dr}{r - r_H + i\epsilon} \right] \right) \\ &= \pm 2 \frac{m-n}{m+n} \pi r_H \omega \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where m and n are arbitrary integers. In this case the radiation temperature becomes

$$T_{BH} = \left| \frac{m+n}{m-n} \right| \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}. \quad (25)$$

which is obviously regularization-dependent, and is inconsistent with the standard result (23). This puzzle will be solved in our quantum horizon model (see the Section IV).

Now we turn to discuss the Brick Wall Model and the calculations of the black hole's entropy. Since $k(r)$ has singularity at $r = r_H$ and then the state density (which corresponds to the entropy) is divergent, 't Hooft suggest to put a "brick wall" near the horizon [2] [5] [6]

$$\phi(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (r < r_H + h, \quad \text{or} \quad r > L), \quad (26)$$

where L is large (infrared cutoff) and h is the thickness of the brick wall (corresponding to the extra-violet cutoff). In this model, h has been assumed to be as follows

$$h = \frac{N' l_p^2}{360\pi r_H}. \quad (27)$$

We address this is a *prior* hypothesis of this model, and its physical meanings are unclear.

For simplicity, we only study the singlet scale field in the above. It is straightforward to extend the studies of actions of (12) of ϕ to ones of ϕ^A with $A = 1 \cdots N'$ where N' is the number of the fields. As well known that all the fields couple with the gravity force which emitting from the vacuum near the horizon, also, all of their dynamical degrees freedom will contribute to entropy. For example, two-component spin field contribution to the entropy is double of which of the scale field. The number of states below energy ω is

$$g(\omega) = N' \int dl (2l+1) \int_{r_H+h}^L dr \sqrt{k^2(r, l, \omega)}, \quad (28)$$

where k^2 is given by eq.(15). The free energy F then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\beta F &= \int dg(\omega) \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\beta g(\omega)}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\beta = 1/T_{BH}$ is the inverse temperature. The dominant contribution from the event horizon to F is

$$F \approx -\frac{2\pi^3}{45h} \left(\frac{r_H}{\beta}\right)^4 \quad (30)$$

The contribution of the horizon to the entropy S are

$$S = \beta^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} = \frac{8\pi^3}{45h} r_H \left(\frac{r_H}{\beta}\right)^3 N'. \quad (31)$$

Comparing the entropy S with the Bekenstein-Hawking's result Eq.(2), and noting $\beta = 4\pi r_H$, we can see that under the above brick wall thickness hypothesis eq.(27) the black hole thermodynamics is reproduced.

3 Quasilocal Energy

According to the discussions in the introduction, the energy of the quantum states of the black hole is the QLE on the horizon

$$E = E_{QLE}(r_H). \quad (32)$$

In this section, we study the static spherical non-extreme black hole. Its metric can generically be expressed as follows

$$ds^2 = -D(r)dt^2 + D^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (33)$$

where $D(r) = f(r)(r - r_H)$. The time Killing vector, ∂_t , is everywhere. r_H is the radius of the event horizon, and it generically is the functions of the mass, charge, cosmical constant of the black hole parameters.

There are inherent difficulties in defining energy in GR, essentially due to its non-localizability. For QLE, we shall adopt the definition given by Brown and York[10].

$$E_{QLE} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \oint_B d^2x \sqrt{\sigma} (K - K_0), \quad (34)$$

where B is the two dimension spherical surface, σ is the determinant of the 2-metric on B , K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of B , and K_0 is a reference term that is used to normalize the energy with respect to a reference space-time, not necessarily flat. To the given metric eq.(33), K is given by

$$K_\theta^\theta = K_\varphi^\varphi = -\frac{\sqrt{D(r)}}{r}. \quad (35)$$

For space-times that are asymptotically flat in spacelike directions, the QLE eq.(34) with B at spatial infinity agrees with the ADM energy[17]. Now, we chose the K_0 is as follows

$$K_0 = -\frac{1}{r}. \quad (36)$$

The QLE of the black hole reads

$$E(r)_{QLE} = r(1 - \sqrt{D(r)})/G \quad (37)$$

Specifically, for Reissner-Nordström black hole,

$$D(r) = \frac{r - r_-}{r^2}(r - r_H) \quad (38)$$

where

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_H = r_+, \quad (39)$$

where M and Q are the mass and the charge of the hole respectively, and when $Q = 0$, the metric of (33) reduces into Schwarzschild's. Obvious, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{D(r)} \rightarrow 1 - M/r$, and hence $E(r \rightarrow \infty)_{QLE} = M$, i.e, the ADM-mass of the Reissner-Nordström black hole. And as $r = r_H$, we have $D(r_H) = 0$ and

$$E(r_H)_{QLE} = r_H/G \quad (40)$$

We have mentioned in the Introduction that according to the quantum principle, the quantum state energy E and its conjugate time t can not be simultaneously measured exactly(Heisenberg uncertainty principle). Namely, treating E and t as operators, we have $[t, E] = i$. Given the relation eq.(40), we must conclude that the uncertainty of E imply that of r_H , and then we have

$$[t, r]|_{r=r_H} = il_p^2. \quad (41)$$

Note this non-commutative relation is independent on the parameters of the black hole, and the corresponding uncertainty relation is $(\Delta t)(\Delta r)|_{r \sim r_H} \sim l_p^2$. In other hands, due to quantum measurement effects, r_H spread into a range of $\{r_H - \Delta r, r_H + \Delta r\}$. Thus eq.(41) extends into

$$[t, r]|_{r \in \{r_H - \Delta r, r_H + \Delta r\}} = il_p^2, \quad (42)$$

where Δr is a distance about Planck length scale, and will be called as spread range of the horizon hereafter. With these considerations, the original classical horizon became to the so called *Quantum Horizon* which has a spread range and its space-time is non-commutative in that range.

Non-commutative relation eq.(42) means $(\Delta t)(\Delta r)|_{r \sim r_H} \sim l_p^2$. This space-time uncertainty relation can be re-explained by other view. In eq.(37), QLE of the black hole is the function of the radial coordinate r and the parameters of the black hole r_H . Now, we consider a quantum fluctuation of the QLE

$$\Delta E_{QLE} = \frac{1}{G} \left(\Delta r - \sqrt{D(r)} \Delta r - \frac{r}{2\sqrt{D(r)}} ((r - r_H) \partial_r f(r) \Delta r + f(r) (\Delta r - \Delta r_H)) \right) \quad (43)$$

where Δr and Δr_H represent the fluctuations of the radial and the ones of parameters respectively. Near the horizon, i.e., $r = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (r_H + \epsilon)$, we have

$$\Delta E_{QLE}|_{r=(r_H+\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{G} \left(\Delta r - r_H \sqrt{f(r_H)} (\Delta r - \Delta r_H) / \sqrt{\epsilon} \right). \quad (44)$$

where $D(r) = f(r)(r - r_H)$ has been used. If requiring the fluctuation of the QLE to be finite, then we have

$$\Delta E_{QLE}|_{r \rightarrow r_H} = \frac{1}{G} \Delta r = \frac{1}{G} \Delta r_H. \quad (45)$$

By using the Heisenberg uncertainty relation $(\Delta t)(\Delta E_{QLE}) \sim 1$, then we get $(\Delta t)(\Delta r)|_{r \sim r_H} \sim G = l_p^2$ again.

4 Quantum horizon and thermodynamics: the Schwarzschild Black hole

In this section, we will construct a model based on the *Quantum Horizon* to discuss the thermodynamic of the Schwarzschild black hole. The Schwarzschild metric is given by the Eq.(11). Treating the black hole as quantum state, the energy of quantum states is the QLE at the horizon, and then we have the space-time non-commutative relation(42). Now, we construct a model with noncommutative ϕ -field in the range of $\{r_H - \Delta, r_H + \Delta\}$ and with commutative ϕ -fields in the ranges of $\{0, r_H - \Delta\}$ and $\{r_H + \Delta, L\}$. (for simplicity we use Δ to denote Δr hereafter). As the discussion in the Introduction, that Δ should be an intrinsic quantity of the model, which characterizes the boundary between the noncommutative space-time range and the commutative space-time ranges, and it could be determined by the dynamics of the model. We rewrite eq.(42) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [x^i, x^j] &= i\Theta \varepsilon^{ij}, \quad (i, j = 0, 1), \quad (x^0 = t, x^1 = r), \quad \Theta = l_p^2, \\ [x^k, x^\mu] &= 0, \quad (k = 2, 3; \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where ε^{ij} is antisymmetrical with $\varepsilon^{01} = 1$. The star product of two function $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ is given by the Moyal formula (which has been studied in [11][12]):

$$(f \star g)(x) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \Theta \varepsilon^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right] f(x) g(y)|_{y=x}. \quad (47)$$

In terms of above notations, the action of the QFT-model in curved space with *quantum horizon* is as follows:

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi \star \partial_\nu \phi) \quad (r_H - \Delta < r < r_H + \Delta), \quad (48)$$

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi) \quad (r_H - \Delta < r, \text{ or } r > r_H + \Delta), \quad (49)$$

where Δ is determined by the infinite red-shifting condition we have discussed in above, i.e., by following equation

$$\tilde{g}_{tt}(r_H + \Delta) = 0 \quad (50)$$

where $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the effective metric describing the effects of noncommutative equation of motion $\delta I[\phi] = 0$ in the range of $\{r_H - \Delta < r < r_H + \Delta\}$. We address the QFT-model with the quantum horizon (48)–(50) is quite different from the QFT-model with classical horizon (for instance, the brick wall model[5]). The fields in the action (48) are non-commutative. And

this properties are naturally characterized by the Planck length, i.e., $\Theta \equiv l_p^2$. Distinguishing from other brick wall-type modes, there is no any free parameter in the theory defined by eqs(48)-(50).

We evaluate the start product in the action of (48) using eq.(47) and cast the action in the ordinary product. By this, the noncommutative effect can be absorbed into an equivalent background metric. In other words, we first take the semi-classical quantum effect into consideration. This effect is then realized through the non-commutative geometry. Finally, this effect is further through an effective background but in an ordinary geometry. For a given energy mode, i.e., assuming the WKB approximation wave function $\phi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = e^{-it\omega - i \int k(r)dr} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$, the effective metric can be either read from the action (actually simpler) or from the following equation of motion for the scalar field once the star product is evaluated:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(\sqrt{-g}g^{tt}\partial_t\phi) + \partial_r(\sqrt{-g}g^{rr}\partial_r\phi) + \partial_\theta(\sqrt{-g}g^{\theta\theta}\partial_\theta\phi) + \partial_\varphi(\sqrt{-g}g^{\varphi\varphi}\partial_\varphi\phi) \\ & + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{i\Theta}{2}\right)^2 (\sqrt{-g}g^{rr})_{,rr} \partial_t\partial_t\partial_r\partial_r\phi \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n!} \left(\frac{i\Theta}{2}\right)^{2n} (\sqrt{-g}g^{tt})_{,r \dots r} \underbrace{\partial_t \dots \partial_t}_{2n} \phi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $(\sqrt{-g}g^{tt})_{,r \dots r}$ stands for $\underbrace{\partial_r \dots \partial_r}_n (\sqrt{-g}g^{tt})$, etc. For the scalar field with given energy ω , the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[-\frac{\sin\theta r^3}{r-r_H} - \frac{\sin\theta\Theta^2\omega^2}{4} - \frac{\sin\theta r_H^3}{r-r_H} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Theta\omega}{2(r-r_H)} \right)^{2n} \right] \phi_{,tt} + \\ & + \left[\sin\theta r(r-r_H) + \frac{\sin\theta\Theta^2\omega^2}{4} \right] \phi_{,rr} + (\sin\theta\phi_{,\theta})_{,\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \phi_{,\varphi\varphi} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The noncommutative field action is nonlocal, which is include with infinity orders of the differential operate. Under WKB approximation, the Θ -expansion terms in the equation of motion (52) reflect this non-local property. Note all Θ -dependent terms have been take into account. Using formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Theta\omega}{2(r-r_H)} \right)^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Theta^2\omega^2}{4(r-r_H)^2}} - 1, \quad (53)$$

the compact expression of this summation of all orders of Θ is obtained. So, the nonperturbation effects of Θ -expansion are included.

Comparing the noncommutative field equation of motion Eq.(52) to the ordinary Klein-Gordon equation with curved space-time metric $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ (see Eq.(13)), we obtain the effective metric $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}g^{tt} = -\frac{\sin\theta r^3}{r-r_H} \left[1 + \frac{\Theta^2\omega^2(r-r_H)}{4r^3} + \frac{r_H^3}{r^3} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Theta^2\omega^2}{4(r-r_H)^2}} - 1 \right) \right], \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{rr}} &= \sin \theta \left[r(r - r_H) + \frac{\Theta^2 \omega^2}{4} \right], \\
\sqrt{-\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{\theta\theta}} &= \sin \theta, \\
\sqrt{-\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{\varphi\varphi}} &= \frac{1}{\sin \theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, we can solve the effective metric as

$$\tilde{g}_{\varphi\varphi} = \tilde{g}_{\theta\theta} \sin^2 \theta, \quad (55)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{tt}\tilde{g}_{rr} = -1, \quad (56)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{tt} = - \left(1 - \frac{r_H}{r} \right) \sqrt{ \frac{1 + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega)}{r(r-r_H)}}{1 + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega)(r-r_H)}{r^3} + \frac{r_H^3}{r^3} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega)}{(r-r_H)^2}} - 1 \right]} }, \quad (57)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{\theta\theta}^2 = \left[r(r - r_H) + \tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega) \right] \left[r^2 + rr_H + r_H^2 + \tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega) + \frac{(r - r_H)r_H^3}{(r - r_H)^2 - \tilde{\Delta}^2(\omega)} \right], \quad (58)$$

where we have set $\tilde{\Delta}(\omega) = \Theta\omega/2$. Substituting eq.(84) into eq.(50), we obtain follows

$$\Delta(\omega) = \tilde{\Delta}(\omega) \equiv \frac{\Theta\omega}{2}. \quad (59)$$

Thus the quantum horizon spread has been determined. We address again that there are no additional parameters were introduced in the above derivations, and it is essential that the quantum effect causes the unexpected appearance of the new horizon at $r = r_H \pm \Delta(\omega)$, at which $\tilde{g}_{tt}$ vanishes. In addition, note also that the $\tilde{g}_{\theta\theta}$ blows up at these two points which imply that the curvature scalar vanishes there, too. Therefore they are regular. Note that, as also discussed in [6], the energy ω for the scalar ϕ should not be too large, therefore $\Delta(\omega) = \Theta\omega/2 = l_p(l_p\omega/2)$ is not larger than the Planck length l_p .

Using the WKB approximation, the square of ϕ -field wave number $k^2(r)$ in the spread range reads

$$\begin{aligned}
k^2(r_H - \Delta < r < r_H + \Delta) &= \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{(r - r_H)^2 + \frac{(r - r_H)\Delta^2}{r}} \\
&\times \left[1 + \frac{(r - r_H)\Delta^2}{r^3} + \frac{r_H^3}{r^3} \left(\frac{(r - r_H)^2}{(r - r_H)^2 - \Delta^2} - 1 \right) - \frac{l(l+1)(r - r_H)}{\omega^2 r^3} \right]
\end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

The square of ϕ -wave number $k^2(r)$ besides the spread range can be read from the Eq.(14). By using S -wave approximate, the wave number k reduces to be

$$k(r) = \pm i \frac{\omega r_H}{\Delta \sqrt{1 - x^2}} \sqrt{ \frac{x + 3\xi(x^2 - 1) + 3\xi^2 x(x^2 - 1) + \xi^3(x^4 - 1)}{x(1 + x\xi)(1 + \frac{\xi}{\xi x^2 + x})} }, \quad (61)$$

in the range : $(r_H - \Delta < r < r_H + \Delta)$;

$$k(r) = \pm \frac{\omega r}{r - r_H} \quad (62)$$

in the range : $(r < r_H - \Delta \text{ or } r > r_H + \Delta)$.

where $x = (r - r_H)/\Delta$ and $\xi = \Delta/r_H$. Note, the mass of macro black hole we concern is much larger than Planck mass, hence $\xi \ll 1$. Now, ingoing and outgoing wave function of the ϕ with energy ω are follows

$$\phi_\omega^{in} = \exp \left[-i\omega \left(t + \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{rdr}{r-r_H} \right) \right], \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\omega^{out}(r > r_H + \Delta) &= A_\omega \exp \left[-i\omega t + i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{\omega r dr}{r-r_H} \right] \\ &= A_\omega \phi_\omega^{in} \exp \left[2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{\omega r dr}{r-r_H} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\phi_\omega^{out}(r < r_H - \Delta) = A_\omega \phi_\omega^{in} \exp \left[2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r) dr \right] \exp \left[2i \int_{r_H-\Delta}^r \frac{\omega r dr}{r-r_H} \right]. \quad (65)$$

Using Eq.(61), the first integration in r.h.s. of equation can be calculated,

$$2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r) dr = \pm \omega r_H \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \sqrt{\frac{x + 3\xi(x^2-1) + 3\xi^2 x(x^2-1) + \xi^3(x^4-1)}{x(1+x\xi)(1+\frac{\xi}{\xi x^2+x})}} \quad (66)$$

Since $\xi \ll 1$, we have

$$2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r) dr \simeq \pm (2\pi r_H \omega \pm i2\pi r_H \omega \xi + 3\pi r_H \omega \xi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\xi^3)) \simeq \pm 2\pi r_H \omega (1 \pm i\xi) \quad (67)$$

where the terms of $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$ have been neglected due to $\xi \ll 1$, whose effects will be briefly discussed in the end of this section. Taking the positive sign, we obtain the absolute value of ratio of the outgoing wave function's amplitude outside the horizon to the one inside as follows

$$\left| \frac{\phi_\omega^{out}(r > r_H + \Delta)}{\phi_\omega^{out}(r < r_H - \Delta)} \right| = e^{-2\pi r_H \omega}. \quad (68)$$

According to the Eqs.(20)-(22), we have

$$T_{BH} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_H}, \quad (69)$$

then, we get the Hawking temperature of the Schwarzschild black hole.

From the effective metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ of the Eqs.(55)-(85), it is now not difficult to understand the boundary condition (8) we given earlier. Because of the appearance of the new horizon, an observer outside the horizon can not detect the ϕ_ω at $r < r_H + \Delta(\omega)$. So, the number of states below energy ω is

$$g(\omega) = N \int dl (2l+1) \int_{r_H+\Delta(\omega)}^L dr \sqrt{k^2(r, l, \omega)} \quad (70)$$

where $k^2(r, l, \omega)$ is given by the Eq.(14). Comparing Eq.(70) with the Eq.(28), the $g(\omega)$ in our model is quite different from the BWM: The lower bound of the integral in eq.(70) is

$r_H + \Delta(\omega)$, but in BWM, this lower bound is $r_H + h$, which is independent on the energy of the ϕ , and h is put in by the hand instead of by the dynamics. The free energy then reads

$$\begin{aligned}\pi\beta F &= \int dg(\omega) \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\beta g(\omega)}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1} \\ &= - \frac{2N}{3} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\beta\omega^3}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1} \int_{r_H + \Delta(\omega)}^L dr \frac{r^4}{(r - r_H)^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (71)$$

The dominant contribution from the event horizon to F is

$$F \approx - \frac{8N\zeta(3)r_H^4}{3\pi\beta^3 l_p^2}, \quad (72)$$

where we have used $\Delta(\omega) = \omega l_p^2/2$ and $\zeta(3)$ is Riemann ζ -function, $\zeta(3) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 1/n^3 \approx 1.202$. The contribution of the horizon to the entropy S are

$$S = \beta^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} = \frac{8N\zeta(3)r_H^4}{\pi\beta^2 l_p^2}. \quad (73)$$

If we set $\beta = 4\pi r_H$ in above equations, we have

$$S = \frac{N\zeta(3)A}{8\pi^4 l_p^2} \quad (74)$$

where $A = 4\pi r_H^2$ is the area of the black hole. It is remarkable that the entropy of (74) is proportional to A . This indicates we are indeed on the right track.

On the other hand, the thermodynamics require the black hole entropy has to be normalized to the Bekenstein-Hawking expression, i.e., $S = S_{BH} = A/(4l_p^2)$. Then, we obtain the number N as follows

$$N = 2\pi^4/\zeta(3) \approx 162. \quad (75)$$

In the next section, we will show that the result of (75) is not only valid for the Schwarzschild black hole, it is also right for all static spherical black holes.

To reveal the physical meaning of the brick wall thickness h introduced by 't Hooft, we calculate the statistical average value of $\Delta(\omega)$

$$\overline{\Delta(\omega)} = \frac{l_p^2}{2} \overline{\omega} = \frac{l_p^2}{2} \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{\omega dg(\omega)}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1}}{\int_0^\infty \frac{dg(\omega)}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1}} = \frac{3\zeta(3)l_p^2}{\pi^3 r_H}. \quad (76)$$

Comparing eq.(76) with (27), we find

$$h = \alpha \overline{\Delta(\omega)}, \quad (77)$$

where $\alpha = N'\pi^2/(1080\zeta(3))$ is a constant. Therefore we conclude the "brick wall" thickness h represents the statistical average effects of the quantum horizon spread range $\Delta(\omega)$.

Finally in this section, we argue that the effects of $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$ in eq.(67) raise the effective temperature of the hole as it radiates. Namely, the ξ -dependency in the eq.(67) should be thought as its thermal statistical average $\bar{\xi}$ -dependency. like eq.(76), $\bar{\xi}^2 = l_p^4 \omega^2 / (4r_H^2) = 1/160 \times (l_p/r_H)^4$, then the effective temperature for the hole is

$$T_{eff} = T_{BH} \left(1 - \frac{3l_p^4}{320r_H^4} \right) \quad (78)$$

where the T_{BH} is Hawking temperature and the second term in the right-hand-side represents a correction to the temperature due to the space-time non-commutative property near the event horizon. Obviously, this correction to the T_{BH} is tiny as $r_H \gg l_p$, and hence it can be ignored indeed. The corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\xi^N)$ with $N > 2$ can be analyzed likewise and they are also ignorable as $r_H \gg l_p$.

5 Quantum horizon and thermodynamics: general static spherical black hole

In this section, we study our QFT model with quantum horizon for general static black holes. The static spherical black hole's metric generically can be written as the eq.(33), and the Schwarzschild black hole is a special case of this metric. The calculation process is similar to the previous section, except the metric is different. As discussed in above, the noncommutative range near the horizon is not bigger than the Planck length. Hence, to the metric near the horizon the function $D(r)$ in (33) can be approximately written as follows

$$D(r) = f(r_H)(r - r_H) + \mathcal{O}((r - r_H)^2) \quad (79)$$

This approximation corresponds to $\xi \rightarrow 0$ in the previous section calculations for the Schwarzschild black hole. Substituting the metric to the eq.(51), and taking WKB-approximation, the equation motion of the scalar field with energy ω is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{\sin \theta r^2}{f(r_H)(r - r_H)} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(\omega)^2}{(r - r_H)^2} \right)^{-1} \phi_{,tt} \\ & + \sin \theta r^2 f(r_H)(r - r_H) \left(1 + \frac{2\tilde{\Delta}(\omega)^2}{r_H(r - r_H)} \right) \phi_{,rr} \\ & + \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta \phi) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \phi_{,\varphi\varphi} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}(\omega) \equiv \Theta\omega/2$, and the following formula has been used

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{\Delta}(\omega)}{r - r_H} \right)^{2n} = \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}(\omega)^2}{(r - r_H)^2} \right)^{-1}. \quad (81)$$

Comparing eq(80) with the standard Klein-Gordon equation in the curved space with effective metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, we have[8]

$$\sin^2 \theta \tilde{g}_{\theta\theta} = \tilde{g}_{\varphi\varphi}, \quad (82)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{tt}\tilde{g}_{rr} = -1 \quad (83)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{tt} = -f(r_H)(r-r_H)\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2}{(r-r_H)^2}\right)\left(1+\frac{2\tilde{\Delta}^2}{r_H(r-r_H)}\right)} \quad (84)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{\theta\theta} = r^2\sqrt{\frac{1+\frac{2\tilde{\Delta}^2}{r_H(r-r_H)}}{1-\frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2}{(r-r_H)^2}}} \quad (85)$$

Substituting eq.(84) into eq.(50), we obtain

$$\Delta(\omega) = \tilde{\Delta}(\omega) \equiv \frac{\Theta\omega}{2}. \quad (86)$$

Using WKB-wave function $\phi = \exp(-i\omega t - i \int k(r)dr)$, S -wave approximation, the equation of motion (80) and the usual dispersion relation due to the commutative action (49), the wave number $k(r)$ with given energy ω in the both noncommutative and commutative ranges reads respectively

$$k(r) = \pm i\omega f^{-1}(r_H)(r-r_H)^{-1}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta(\omega)}{(r-r_H)^2}-1}, \quad (87)$$

in the range : $(r_H - \Delta(\omega) < r < r_H + \Delta(\omega))$

$$k(r) = \omega f(r)^{-1}(r-r_H)^{-1} \quad (88)$$

in the range : $(0 < r < r_H - \Delta(\omega))$ or $(r > r_H + \Delta(\omega))$.

Note the wave number k in the noncommutative range is imaginary. This means that the quantum tunnelling occurred in this noncommutative range. The ingoing and outgoing wave functions are as follows

$$\phi_\omega^{in} = \exp\left[-i\omega\left(t + \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{dr}{f(r)(r-r_H)}\right)\right], \quad (89)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\omega^{out}(r > r_H + \Delta) &= A_\omega \exp\left[-i\omega t + i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{\omega dr}{f(r)(r-r_H)}\right] \\ &= A_\omega \phi_\omega^{in} \exp\left[2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^r \frac{\omega dr}{f(r)(r-r_H)}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

$$\phi_\omega^{out}(r < r_H - \Delta) = A_\omega \phi_\omega^{in} \exp\left[2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r)dr\right] \exp\left[2i \int_{r_H-\Delta}^r \frac{\omega dr}{f(r)(r-r_H)}\right] \quad (91)$$

The ratio between the amplitude of outgoing wave function of ϕ outside the hole and one inside it is $\exp(2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r)dr)$, which describes the the quantum tunnelling effects of ϕ passing the non-commutative range of the black hole. Using eq.(87), the following integration can be calculated

$$\begin{aligned} Im\left(2 \int k(r)dr\right) &= 2i \int_{r_H+\Delta(\omega)}^{r_H-\Delta(\omega)} k(r)dr = \pm \frac{2\omega}{f(r_H)} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \\ &= \pm \frac{2E_\phi}{f(r_H)} \arcsin(x)|_{-1}^1 = \pm \frac{2\pi\omega}{f(r_H)} \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where $x = (r - r_H)/\Delta(\omega)$ is dimensionless parameter, and the result of (92) is independent of the non-commutative parameter Θ . By means of the theory of Damour-Ruffini[4] and Sannan[13], the black hole temperature T_{BH} can be derived from the ratio between the amplitude of outgoing wave function outside the hole and one inside the hole, i.e., $\exp(|2i \int_{r_H+\Delta}^{r_H-\Delta} k(r)dr|) = \exp(E_\phi/(2T_{BH}))$. Thus, using (92), we get the desired result as follows

$$T_{BH} = \frac{f(r_H)}{4\pi} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}, \quad (93)$$

where $\kappa = f(r_H)/2$ is the surface gravity on event horizon of the given metric (33). Then, the standard Hawking temperature has been reproduced successfully.

Because of the appearance of the new horizon, an observer cannot detect the ϕ at $r \leq r_H + \Delta(\omega)$. Just we have discussed earlier that the field has an infinite red-shift at $r = r_H + \Delta(\omega)$. We can now follow the method of the above section to evaluate the black hole entropy. The WKB wave number $k(r)$ read from the action eq.(49) is

$$k^2(L > r > r_H + \Delta(\omega)) = f^{-1}(r)(r - r_H)^{-1} (f^{-1}(r)(r - r_H)^{-1}\omega^2 - l(l+1)r^{-2}) \quad (94)$$

The number of states below energy E_ϕ is

$$g(\omega) = N \int dl(2l+1) \int_{r_H+\Delta(\omega)}^L dr \sqrt{k^2(l, r, \omega)} \quad (95)$$

The free energy then reads

$$\pi\beta F = \int dg(\omega) \ln(1 - e^{-\beta\omega}) = - \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\beta g(\omega)}{e^{\beta\omega} - 1} \quad (96)$$

where $\beta = 1/T_{BH}$ is inverse temperature. The dominant contribution from the even horizon to F is

$$F \approx - \frac{8N\zeta(3)r_H^2}{3\pi f^2(r_H)l_p^2\beta^3} \quad (97)$$

The contribution of the horizon to the entropy S are

$$S = \beta^2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} = \frac{N\zeta(3)A}{8\pi^4 l_p^2}. \quad (98)$$

where $A = 4\pi r_H^2$ is the horizon area. So we reproduce the correct relation of $S \propto A$. normalized to the Bekenstein-Hawking expression, i.e., $S = S_{BH} = A/(4l_p^2)$. Then, from the eq.(98) we obtain the field number N as follows

$$N = 2\pi^4/\zeta(3) \approx 162. \quad (99)$$

The number N is independent of the parameters of the black hole, and same as eq.(75).

6 summery and discussion

In summery: A semi-classical reasoning leads to the non-commutativity of space and time coordinates near the horizon of static non-extreme black hole, and renders the classical horizon spreading to *Quantum Horizon*. In terms of the background metric of the black hole with the *Quantum Horizon*, a quantum field theory in curved space without ultraviolet divergency near the horizon is formulated. In this formulism, the black hole thermodynamics is reproduced correctly without both ambiguity and additional hypothesis in the deriving the hole's Hawking radiations and entropies, and a new interesting prediction on the number of radiative field modes N is provided. Specifically, the main results are follows: 1, Hawking radiations rightly emerge as an effect of quantum tunneling through the quantum horizon, and hence the ambiguities due to going across the singularity on the classical horizon were got rid of; 2, 't Hooft's brick wall thickness hypothesis and the boundary condition imposed for the field considered in his brick wall model were got rid of also, and related physics has been interpreted; 3, The present theory is parameter free. So, the theory has power to predict the multiplicity N of radiative field modes according to the requirement of normalization of Hawking-Bekenstein entropy. It has been found that $N \simeq 162$.

Finally, two discussions are in order:

1) We conclude in this paper that the black hole entropy and the Hawking temperature have been produced successfully and simultaneously in the QFT-model in curved space with quantum horizon. Physically, this implies that this model not only can be used to rightly count the micro-states of the black hole (corresponding to derive its entropy), but also can be used to rightly calculate the quantum tunnelling amplitudes across the horizon for the particles creating by strong gravitational fields (corresponding to derive the Hawking temperature). Thus we have achieved to bridge those two black hole physics phenomena consistently by one semi-classical quantum field theory. All puzzles caused by the singularity associating with the classical horizon mentioned in Section II have been solved by considering the quantum effects on the horizon. In the theory, there are no additional cutoff and any adjustable parameters that are introduced. Thus, the successes of our theory directly support the quantum horizon conception suggested by us in this paper.

2) As we have mentioned in the above that the thermodynamics requires the black hole entropy has to be normalized to the Bekenstein-Hawking expression, i.e., $S = S_{BH} = A/(4l_p^2)$. Then, from the eq.(98) we obtain the field number N as follows

$$N = 2\pi^4/\zeta(3) \approx 162, \quad (100)$$

where N fails to be a integer both because some approximations (e.g., WKB-approximation etc) in the state-counting are used in the above and because some fine adjustments to the entropy due to real world particle's charge and spin etc are ignored in this paper. Such sort of errors should be rather small. Eq.(100) is a good estimate to the field number of ϕ -multiplet in the model.

It is well known that the particle-antiparticle(with negative energy)-pair creation effects in physical vacuum induced by the strong classical gravitational fields will occur near the horizon and will lead to the Hawking radiations. In absence of a full quantum gravity theory, we could regard the vacuum as a particle-antiparticle sea. Because the masses of all particles in real world physics should be much less the Planck mass and because also the gravitational

Table I: Multiple number of fields in minimal supersymmetric standard model[14]					
Normal particles	Name	N	Supersymme- tric partners	Name	N
$q = u, d, s,$ c, b, t	quark ($\times 3$ color)	36	$\tilde{q}_L, \tilde{q}_R$	scalar-quark ($\times 3$ color)	36
$l = e, \mu, \tau$	lepton	6	$\tilde{l}_L, \tilde{l}_R$	scalar-lepton	6
$\nu = \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$	neutrino	6	$\tilde{\nu}$	scalar-neutrino	6
g	gluon	16	$\tilde{g}$	gluino	16
$W^\pm$		4	$\tilde{W}^\pm$	wino	4
Z^0		2	$\tilde{Z}^0$	zino	2
γ	photon	2	$\tilde{\gamma}$	photino	2
$H_1^+ \quad H_1^0$ $\tilde{H}_1^+ \quad \tilde{H}_1^0$	higgs	8	$\tilde{H}_1^+ \quad \tilde{H}_1^0$	higgsino	8
$g_{\mu\nu}$	graviton	2	$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$	gravitino	2

interactions near the black hole horizon are so strong that the interactions in the ordinary particle dynamics can be ignored, the matter quantum dynamics near the black hole will reduce to action of free massless scalar fields within the background gravitational fields like eqs(48)(49). In this picture, the charges, spins and all intrinsic character of the particles and the interactions between them are all disappeared (or ignorable), but the field modes should keep to be unchangeable, and then the number of degree of freedom of the fields are same as one in the case without such kind of extremely strong background gravitational fields, i.e., the original dynamical Lagrangian case. Consequently, through counting the black hole's entropy, we could get the field mode number N in the QFT model with quantum horizon, and then the number of field degree of freedom in the underlying dynamics will be determined. Here the interesting criterion is that those two numbers must be equal each other in principle, because all particle-pair created from the vacuum must belong to the underlying matter theory and vice-versa, i.e., all particles in the theory must be created by the extremely strong gravitational interactions. Therefore, the mode number N should be independent of the parameters of black hole, i.e., N displays an intrinsic character of vacuum. That the black hole physics result could be helpful for searching the new physics beyond the standard model is remarkable.

Back to our result eq.(100). Surely, $N \simeq 162$ is much larger than the number of degree of freedom of the Standard Model (SM), which is 82 (see the Table I). Therefore, at Planck scale (or near Planck scale), SM fails, and must be ruled out. When one counted the number of degree of freedom of the Minimal Super-symmetric Standard Model (MSSM), it has been found that this dynamical degree number is 164 (see Table I), which is very close to our prediction $N = 162$. Hence, our model favor to support MSSM as a new physics theory beyond SM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partially supported by NSF of China 90103002 and the PhD Program Fund of Chinese Education Ministry. The authors wish to thank Dao-Neng Gao, Jiliang Jing, Jian-Xin Lu, and Shuang-Qing Wu for their stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Nature (London) **248**, 30 (1974); Commun. Math. Phys. **43**(1975)199 ; Phys Rev . **D14** (1976) 2460; *The unpredictability of quantum gravity*, Cambridge Univ, preprint(May 1982)
- [2] G. 't Hooft, *Horizons*, gr-qc/0401027.
- [3] R.M. Wald, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1994); N.D.Birrell and P.C.W.Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press,1982.
- [4] T. Damour, R. Ruffini, Phys Rev.**D14**(1976) 332
- [5] G.'t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B256** (1985) 727.
- [6] G. 't Hooft, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A11** (1996) 4623-4688.
- [7] M.K. Parikh, F. Wilczek. Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 5042
- [8] H. Bai, M.L. Yan, JHEP.**0307** (2003) 058
- [9] In the ref.[8], we set E to be ADM-mass.It is not appropriate.
- [10] J.D. Brown, J.W. York, phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 1407
- [11] N.Seigerg and E.Witten, JHEP.**9909** (1999) 032.
- [12] S.Minwalla,M.V. Raamsdonk and N. Seiberg, JHEP.**0002**(2000) 020
- [13] S. Sannan, Gen. Rel. Grav.**20** (1988) 239
- [14] H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane, Physics. Reports. **117**(1985) 75
- [15] H. Bai, M.L. Yan "*Quantum Horizons*" arXiv:gr-qc/0403064
- [16] R.P. Feynman. Phys. Rev. **76** (1949) 749
- [17] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C.W. Misner, in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962)
- [18] N.D. Birrell and P.C. W.Davies. "*Quantum Fields in Curved Space*". Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982